1		
2		
3		
4		
5	LINITED STATES D	ISTRICT COLIRT
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
7	ATTAC	OWA
8	U.S. BANK, N.A., et al.,	
9	Plaintiffs,	CASE NO. C13-5720 BHS
10	V.	ORDER REMANDING CASE
11	STEVEN DERHEIM, et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
12 13	Defendants.	
		Defendants notice of removal and related
13		Defendants notice of removal and related
13 14	This matter comes before the Court on	
13 14 15	This matter comes before the Court on documents. Dkt. 1.	awful detainer action in Pierce County
13 14 15 16	This matter comes before the Court on documents. Dkt. 1. On July 10, 2013, Plaintiffs filed an unl	awful detainer action in Pierce County
13 14 15 16 17	This matter comes before the Court on documents. Dkt. 1. On July 10, 2013, Plaintiffs filed an und Superior Court for the State of Washington. <i>Id</i> removed the action to this Court. <i>Id</i> .	awful detainer action in Pierce County
13 14 15 16 17 18	This matter comes before the Court on documents. Dkt. 1. On July 10, 2013, Plaintiffs filed an und Superior Court for the State of Washington. <i>Id</i> removed the action to this Court. <i>Id</i> .	lawful detainer action in Pierce County d. On August 21, 2013, Defendants or proceeding shall be filed within 30 days
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	This matter comes before the Court on documents. Dkt. 1. On July 10, 2013, Plaintiffs filed an und Superior Court for the State of Washington. Identify removed the action to this Court. Id. The notice of removal of a civil action of the court of the court of the court. Id.	awful detainer action in Pierce County d. On August 21, 2013, Defendants or proceeding shall be filed within 30 days vice or otherwise, of a copy of the initial

1	has then been filed in court and is not required to be served on the defendant, whichever	
2	period is shorter. 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(1). Moreover, if at any time before final judgmen	
3	it appears that the district court lacks subject matter jurisdiction, the case shall be	
4	remanded. 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c).	
5	In this case, Defendants removal is deficient for at least two reasons. First, they	
6	claim that they were served with the summons and complaint on June 18, 2013. They	
7	removed the action more than 30 days after that date in violation of 28 U.S.C. § 1446.	
8	Second, the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' unlawful detainer	
9	action to obtain possession of real property that Defendants will allegedly not vacate.	
10	Therefore, the Court sua sponte REMANDS the matter.	
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
12	Dated this 21st day of August, 2013.	
13	l ΛC	
14	Dept \ South	
15	BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judge	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		